

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.				
10/072,348		02/07/2002	Gijsbertus Jacob Verkerke	31900USI 4151 EXAMINER					
116	7590	01/07/2005							
-	PEARNE & GORDON LLP			GETZOW, SCOTT M			GETZOW, SCOTT M		
	1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200				PAPER NUMBER				
CLEVELAN	ID, OH	44114-3108		3762					

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Actio	n Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	e 01032005
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing (S) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)
	priority documents he priority documents he copies of the priority sternational Bureau (F	ave been received in documents have been PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National S ot received.	tage
12) Acknowledgment is made of		iority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
11) The oath or declaration is obj	•	•		` '
Applicant may not request that Replacement drawing sheet(s)	•	•	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1,121(d).
10) The drawing(s) filed on	-	, -	•	
9) The specification is objected				
Application Papers				
8) Claim(s) are subject t	o restriction and/or e	lection requirement.		
7) Claim(s) is/are object	ed to.		,	
6) Claim(s) <u>5-8,11-20,23-25</u> is				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed				
4) Of the above claim(s)				
4) Claim(s) <u>5-8,11-20 and 23-2</u>	5 is/are nending in th	ne annlication		
Disposition of Claims				
closed in accordance with th			·	
3) Since this application is in co	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		atters, prosecution as to the r	merits is
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This ac	ction is non-final.		
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on			
Status				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date o - If the period for reply specified above is less th - If NO period for reply is specified above, the m - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) f this communication. nan thirty (30) days, a reply with naximum statutory period will a not for reply will, by statute, ca see months after the mailing da	a). In no event, however, may thin the statutory minimum of t apply and will expire SIX (6) M use the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Period for Reply		C CET TO EVOIDE A	MONITH(C) EDOM	
The MAILING DATE of this of	communication appea	rs on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress
		Scott M. Getzow	3762	
Office Action Summ	201	Examiner	Art Unit	
		10/072,348	VERKERKE ET AL.	$\bigcap_{I,I}$
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\sim

Application/Control Number: 10/072,348

Art Unit: 3762

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 5-8,11-20,23-25 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,398,714. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the differences between the claims of the present application and the claims of the parent patent are considered to have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Getzow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott M. Getzow Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

SMG